UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/713,453 | 11/14/2003 | Patrick L. Von Behren | 2003P05219US | 9643 | |
| ²⁸⁵²⁴ SIEMENS COF | | | | EXAMINER | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | LAMPRECHT, JOEL | | |
| 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3737 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/01/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/713,453 | VON BEHREN ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JOEL M. LAMPRECHT | 3737 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers | awn from consideration. /or election requirement. | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | ate |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 6-13, 15-21, and 24-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman et al (US 7,295,693 B2) in view of Paxman et al (US 5,627,363). Kaufman et al disclose the identification of phases of intensity data relative to the heart cycle for a multitude of spatial locations in multiple image frames (Col 2 Line 65- Col 3 Line 55, Col 13 Line 55-Col 14 Line 37), display the data on a data screen (Figure 2, 4, 5 Col 6 Line 10-45), including 3-d renderings (Col 7 Line 40-Col 8 Line 16), and locate regions of interest with respect to the phase of the data in multiple locations

Application/Control Number: 10/713,453

Art Unit: 3737

and of multiple phases (Col 14 Line 33-Col 15 Line 39. Kaufman et al also disclose sinusoidal matching via Fourier transform, identification of fundamental frequency (Col 14-Col 16), including methods of normalization and filtering, the formation of local intensity profiles at selected regions where the phase is of a certain value and the intensity (Figure 13, Col 14 Line 38-Col 15 Line 9) can be chosen to be transformed into a spatial domain and displayed (filtering, normalization; Col 14 Line 55-Col 6 Line 60). Automated boundary detection of isolated information and tracking is also disclosed including the use of the amplitude or phase of the data for locating specific boundary conditions (Fig 10-12, Col 13 Line 55 – Col 14 Line 37, Col 15 Line 1-57). Images can be selected based on the differing phases and separated as such, and combinations of cycles of measurements are used and combined to provide the "best" representation of the cycle (Col 16 Line 49- Col 18 Line 15, Col 10 Line 50 - Col 13 line 28).

Page 3

Kaufman et al do not disclose the use of alternative frequency components aside from the fundamental and do not disclose phase retrieval for the same. Attention is then directed to the teaching reference to Paxman et al which discloses narrowband frequency identification to identify multiple frequency components and phase angles of acoustic data non-invasively (Col 3 Line 50-65) for image mapping. Intensity information is normalized after an impulse response phase-retrieval is performed on parallel frequency illuminated response data (Col 7 Line 9-25, Col 3 Line 65-Col 6 Line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have applied the processing principles of Paxman et al for phase retrieval of

acoustic intensity data to the methods of Kaufman et al for the purpose of reducing noise in an image of complex reflectivity of a waveform.

Claims 2, 3, 5, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman et al in view of Paxman et al and in further view of Phillips (6,210,334 B1). Kaufman et al in view of Paxman et al disclose all that is listed above, but fail to mention the use of B-mode images, though ultrasound data is mentioned and fail to mention the use of contrast agents for data acquisition. Attention is then directed to the secondary reference by Phillips which discloses the use of B-mode data (Col 4 Line 30-Col 5 Line 4), harmonic isolation (Col 3 Line 59-Col 4 Line 18) from fundamental frequency components as well as contrast agent-based acquisition (Col 3 Line 40 – Col 4 Line 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the data analysis methods of Kaufman et al in view of Paxman et al with the acquisition and processing of Phillips for the purpose of isolating specific data components during a diagnostic procedure.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kaufman et al in view of Chiang et al. (6,969,352). Kaufman et al. disclose all that is

listed above but do not mention synchronizing their method with a pacemaker or

highlighting movement of a mechanical heart contraction wave during a heart cycle.

Attention is then directed to the secondary reference by Chiang et al, in the same field

of endeavor, which suggests such an ultrasonic imaging system for pacemaker

monitoring, or artificial heart device implantation (Col 4 Lines 43 – 63). It would have

been obvious to one having normal skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the

method of pacemaker monitoring ultrasound of Chiang et al, with the highlighting movement of a heart contraction during the physiological cycle as disclosed by Kaufman et al. due to the fact that implanted or artificial devices require the same attention as natural human hearts and provide the same if not worse possibility for "sickness" or failure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL M. LAMPRECHT whose telephone number is (571)272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,453 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML

/BRIAN CASLER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737